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Abstract

The aim of investors for investment is gaining returns. To this end and for maximizing the return, investors seek for identifying factors effective on return so that they can use them for predicting their investment's return. In the current work, relationship between financial characteristics of the companies and stock return in Tehran Stock Exchange in 2004-2011 is investigated. Financial characteristics include firm size, return on equity (ROE), return on assets (ROA), price-earnings ratio, book – market equity ratio, profit margin, profitability and financial leverage. Panel data method was used for data analysis and the results showed that the variables of firm size, book- market equity ratio, return on assets, and return on equity are significantly related to stock returns, but no relationship was observed between margin profit, financial leverage, and price-earnings ratio with stock returns.
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Introduction

Stock exchange is one of appropriate opportunities for investment and gaining return. Return of an investment denotes profits obtained from the investment and investors seek for those investment opportunities which increase their capital. To this end, investors should consider many factors. If investors invest regardless of effective and return-related factors, they won't gain desirable results. Risk and return are two basic factors for investors. Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) is used for quantifying risk and return relationship. Systematic risk (Beta) is the only factor affecting stock returns in this model. There are also other effective factors on stock return. Fama and French introduced multi-factor model by adding two variables, book - market equity ratio and firm size, as two variables significantly affecting stock returns.

Aim of the investors for investment in stock of the companies is gaining logical return and stock return is composed of stock price changes and received profit. Thus, stock price or its changes is a criterion for decision making on stock exchange. Logical investors seek for maximizing their return at an acceptable risk level. In this regard, they need criteria through which they can predict their investment performance. Such criteria should have high predictability and be easily observable. Quick decision making is a key rule in investment. Slowness in making decisions results in losing potential profit and incurring potential loss.

The question is that: is there any significant relationship between variables of firm size, return on equity (ROE), return on assets (ROA), price-earnings ratio, book – market equity ratio, profit margin, profitability and financial leverage and stock return?

Review of Literature

Financial authors search for factors which influence stock return since long ago. Many authors investigated inflation role in stock return. For example, Fama and Macbeth, Lintner and some others investigated a comprehensive list of macroeconomic variables.

Authors such as Sharp, Lintner, Fama and Macbeth, and Chen, Roll, and Ross studied pricing of securities risk focusing on multi-factor models.

The work by Chen et al. is one of the salient works regarding determining risk of macroeconomic variables. They assumed sudden changes in macroeconomic variables play a warning role for changes in stock prices and they concluded that the monthly growth rate in industrial production and a sudden change in the risk premium and unexpected inflation are factors that have significant explanatory power.

Rutledge and Khondkar Karim studied relationship between size and return in Chinese market and found small size firms have more return. Fama and French found in period 1963-1990, the firm size can explain stock return changes. Davis and Desai introduced firm size as an important variable for changes of realized stock return. According to Drew et al. in their work entitled firm size, book-to-market equity and security returns concluded small and growing firms provide higher returns than larger firms. Gordon indicated stock return is
related inversely to firm size. Rouwenhorst showed stock return of small firms outperform compared to large firms. Chaopricha et al. investigated relationship between firm characteristics and stock return. They found the size is an important factor in predicting stock return. Lakonishok, Shleifer, and Vishny studied growth strategies and found they obtain higher return. It means direct relationship between size and stock return. Banz and Reinganum in England found stock of smaller firms provide higher return compared to large firms.

Fama and French concluded stock return is described only by two factors, size and book – market value ratio. Maroney found high B/M ratio leads to higher return. Lam concluded variables of firm size, book- market value ratio, and E/P ratio can explain stock return difference in Hong Kong.

Rosenberg et al. stated firms with higher book – market value ratio have high return average. Ashiq Ali and Hwang Seok stated BE/ME ratio has the ability to predict future return. Rouwenhorst indicated value stocks (stocks with high book – market value ratio) acts better than growth stocks (stocks with low book – market value ratio).

Lewellen studied the question if such ratio as EPS/P can predict stock return. They used regression analysis for testing hypotheses. Thus, they found this ratio is able to predict the stock return. Umar conducted a study entitled Fundamental analysis of Saudi emerging market stock returns 1990-2004 and found the relationship between annual stock return and B/M (book – market equity) and E/P (earnings – price) ratios.

Ball and Basu reported in addition to firm size and systematic risk, earnings - price ratio is effective in explaining stock return difference. According to Ball, earning – price ratio includes unknown factors related to stock return which can be called "risk factors". Ball argues it is expected stock with higher earning – price ratio provides higher expected return. Overall, findings of these work and similar ones suggest that there is significant relationship between stock return rate average and such variables as firm size, book- market value ratio, and earning – price ratio.

Chaopricha et al. studied relationship between firm characteristics and stock return. They found despite of lack of consensus on the best descriptive variable, some studies proposed market – book value ratio, size and price – earnings ratio as the main factors for predicting stock return.

Omran and Ragab followed works by Lev and Thiagrajan and Riahi-Belkaoui conducted a study entitled Liner versus Non-Linear relationship between financial ratios and stock return in Egyptian firms. They tested linear and non-linear relationship between financial ratios and stock return using multivariate regression correlation analysis. Research period was 1996 – 2000. Results of linear model using strategy of stepwise multivariate models indicated return on equity was the only significant ratio for the whole model. Jamadiri investigated effectiveness of financial ratios in predicting stock return and found only variables of return on equity and interest coverage ratio are able to predict stock return.

Ho et al. used Beta pricing test and introduced the other common risk factor known as financial leverage in a work entitled Corporate financial leverage and asset pricing in the Hong Kong market and found there is relationship between return and market leverage. Ni the work by Ho et al., market leverage was calculated by ratio of total value of assets to market value of equity, and book leverage was calculated by ratio of total value of assets to book value of equity. Also, Bahandori reported there is a positive relationship between average rate of stock return and financial leverage.

Several studies in recent years have been conducted in Iran concerning relationship between financial variables and stock returns, main of which are as follows: Rae and Shavakhi compared return in large and small size firms and they found large firms have higher return compared to small firms. Dashti in his studies during 1997-2001 found there is direct significant relationship between firm size and stock return. Bagherzadeh investigated and identified factors affecting stock return in Tehran Stock Exchange during 1997-2004. Results of this work indicated positive relationship between size and stock return. MusaviKashi in period 1992-1996 studied effect of size on investment return and found weak significant relationship between return and size. Rahmani and Tajvidi found there is significant relationship between size and stock return. Kimiagari et al. investigated relationship between risk and return based on three-factor model of Fama and French in Tehran Stock Exchange. They observed that firm size can explain stock return changes; however, it is in contrast with finding by Fama and French, that size and return are directly related in Iranian capital market.

Gholami investigated relationship between changes in operational, financial, and combined leverages and with stock return changes in the companies listed in Tehran Stock Exchange during 1994- 2000. He found no significant relationship between three leverages and stock return changes.


Rahimi studied relationship between stock return and price – earnings ratio in the companies listed in Tehran Stock Exchange. His hypothesis was: stocks with low price – earnings ratio had higher return compared to stock with high price – earnings ratio during 1994-1997. Their research results
Research Questions and Hypotheses

As shown in literature, many studies have been conducted on variables affecting return; however, a few numbers of variables were measured. Current work aims at investigating effect of 7 basic financial variables on companies in cement industry within an eight-year period. Research hypotheses are as follows:

- There is a significant relationship between firm size and stock return.
- There is a significant relationship between return on assets and stock return.
- There is a significant relationship between return on equity and stock return.
- There is a significant relationship between book – market value ratio and stock return.
- There is a significant relationship between profit margin and stock return.
- There is a significant relationship between price – earnings ratio and stock.
- There is a significant relationship between financial leverage and stock return.
- There is a significant relationship between firm size and stock return.
- There is a significant relationship between return on assets, return on equity, and profit margin have significant relationship with stock return. Also, findings indicated return on assets is more effective than other variables in predicting stock return.

Test Hypotheses: The starting point of econometric studies is regression model in which a relation is assumed between dependent and independent variables. Regression model explains changes observed in dependent variable by changes of independent variable. Causal relationship between dependent variable (y) and independent variables (x1, x2,..., xk) may assume any form of implicit functions. The multivariate regression model is as follows:

\[ y_i = \alpha + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \cdots + \beta_k x_{ik} + u_i \]

\[ x_{ik} : i^{th} \text{observation of the dependent variable (y), } x_{ki} : i^{th} \text{observation for independent variable (x_k)} \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \ldots, k, \alpha: \text{constant, } \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_k: \text{Regression correlation coefficients, } u_i: \text{Disturbing statements.} \]

Model Diagnostic Test: Now it should be specified whether type of model is Pool or Panel. To this end, F test is run, that is, bound regression versus unbound regression is tested using square residuals.

\[ H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \cdots = \mu_{N-1} = 0 \]
\[ H_a: \mu_i \neq \mu_f (3\mu_1 \neq 0) \]

\[ y_{it} = \alpha + \beta X_{it} + \nu_{it} \rightarrow ssr \]
\[ y_{it} = \alpha + \beta X_{it} + \sum_{i=1}^{n=1} H_i D_{it} + \nu_{it} \rightarrow ssur \]

Using OLS residuals obtained from Pool and Fixed model, test
The calculated \( F \) is 1.9 and \( F \) in table with Degree of Freedom (23 and 137) is 1.75 and since calculated \( F \) is larger than \( F \) in table, \( H_0 \) is rejected. Otherwise, \( H_0 \) is supported.

The calculated \( F \) is 1.9 and \( F \) in table with Degree of Freedom (23 and 137) is 1.75 and since calculated \( F \) is larger than \( F \) in table, \( H_0 \) statig model is Pool is rejected.

If null hypothesis is rejected, panel data type should be tested in terms of random effects of fixed effects. To this end, Hausman test is used.

If null hypothesis is rejected, panel data type should be tested in terms of random effects of fixed effects. To this end, Hausman test is used.

\( H_0 \) and \( H_1 \) are as follows in Hausman test:

\[ H_0 : \alpha = \alpha_s \]
\[ H_1 : \alpha \neq \alpha_s \]

Null hypothesis means there is no relationship between disturbing components related to intercept and explanatory variables and they are independent. While, \( H_1 \) means there is correlation between disturbing components and explanatory variable, and if there is correlation between disturbing components and explanatory variable, problem of bias and inconsistency is faced\(^{47}\).

Panel data are examined in terms of being random or fixed.

In Hausman test, approval of \( H_0 \) suggests selection of random effects method, and its rejection denotes selection of fixed effects method\(^{48}\).

### Table-2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary of Hausman test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Test Summary</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period random</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since prob is smaller than 0.05, thus the fixed effects model is approved.

### Model Estimation

The main tool for estimation is using OLS estimator (Ordinary Least Square). It is the most known model in this regards. Research model is as follows: \( R_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 SIZE_{it} + \beta_2 BM_{it} + \beta_3 ROA_{it} + \beta_4 ROE_{it} + \beta_5 MP_{it} + \beta_6 PE_{it} + \beta_7 LEV_{it} \)

By entering coefficients:

\[ R_{it} = -35.66036 + 2.96E - 05 \times SIZE_{it} - 20.66598 \times BM_{it} + 79.28163 \times ROA_{it} - 25.97661 \times ROE_{it} + 6.385081 \times MP_{it} - 0.068378 \times PE_{it} - 26.31235 \times LEV_{it} \]

One of the classic assumptions for estimation through OLS is lack of relationship between residuals in different time series, which is known as lack of autocorrelation. If there is autocorrelation, such problems as non-real statistics for \( t \) and \( F \) would be encountered.

One of known tests for specifying autocorrelation is Durbin-Watson Test. According to this test, if its value is close to 2, there is no autocorrelation.

### Table-3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Durbin-Watson Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>R-squared</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean dependent var</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adjusted R-squared</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S.E. of regression</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sum squared resid</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Log likelihood</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F-statistic</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prob(F-statistic)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since Durbin – Watson statistics is 2, thus model doesn’t have autocorrelation and results obtained from this mode are reliable.

Coefficient of independent variables in the model means change in the dependent variable per one unit change in related independent variable with fixed values for other variables.

### Results for Hypotheses Testing

\( H_0 \) and \( H_1 \) are defined for each of hypothesis and considering obtained Prob, hypotheses are tested. Research Hypothesis: there is significant relationship between respective variable and stock return. \( H_0 \): there is no significant relationship between respective variable and stock return. \( H_1 \): there is significant relationship between respective variable and stock return.

\[ H_0 : \beta_k = 0 \]
\[ H_1 : \beta_k \neq 0 \]

\( H_0 \) suggests by fixed value for other dependent variables, related variable has no effect on stock return of the firms listed in Tehran Stock Exchange. If prob value is below 0.05, \( H_0 \) is rejected and it is said respective coefficient is significant.

### Discussion:

Results obtained from testing hypotheses indicate among the variables under study, firm size, return on assets, return on equity, and book – market value ratio are significantly related to stock return, and no relationship was observed between three variables of financial leverage, margin profit, and price – earnings ratio with return.
Findings in the current work concerning relationship between firm size and book – market value and stock return are consistent with many foreign works such as those by Fama and French, Rutledge and Khondkar Karim, and Chaopricha et al. Of course, direction relationship of these variables in foreign works denotes that the relationship between size and return is often negative and relationship between book – market value and return is positive. In the domestic works such as work by Raee and Shavakhi Zavare, relationship between size and return is positive like the current work. There is controversy about larger or smaller firms with higher profitability and return. Some authors consider larger firms more profitable and with higher return because of following factors:

Large firms are more diversified in terms of activity. Such diversity helps higher profitability and return. Large firms provide their needed fund in lower interests due to their credit in global capital markets.

**Conclusion**

Findings about insignificant relationship between financial leverage and price – earnings ratio as well as about significant relationship between return on assets and equity and stock return are consistent with some domestic research works. Overall, it can be said most domestic and foreign related works referred to the fact that there is no consensus on one or more variable ad the best describers of stock return. There is more dispersion about variables affecting stock return in the country’s Stock Exchange, and sometimes conflicts are observed. Following factors can be mentioned for different use of these variables: Tehran Stock Exchange inefficiency, financial herding, making decisions on stock exchange based on canards, absence of analysts and analyzing associations and companies providing consulting services in the capital market of Iran, and behavior and perception of the users. The other reason regarding insignificance of margin profit, financial leverage, and price – earnings ratio is negligence of investors about these variables when investment, while for a correct decision making, a logical understanding of the accounting methods and rules, principles of preparing financial statements and market mechanisms is needed.
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**Table-4**

Model Estimation Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>t-Statistic</th>
<th>Prob.</th>
<th>Results for testing hypotheses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>-35.66036</td>
<td>16.90960</td>
<td>-2.108882</td>
<td>0.0369</td>
<td>Supports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM</td>
<td>20.66598</td>
<td>9.694217</td>
<td>2.131785</td>
<td>0.0350</td>
<td>Supports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEV</td>
<td>26.31235</td>
<td>23.18288</td>
<td>1.134991</td>
<td>0.2585</td>
<td>Rejected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP</td>
<td>-6.385081</td>
<td>24.35348</td>
<td>-0.262184</td>
<td>0.7936</td>
<td>Rejected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>-0.068378</td>
<td>0.332111</td>
<td>-0.205889</td>
<td>0.8372</td>
<td>Rejected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROA</td>
<td>79.28163</td>
<td>39.01790</td>
<td>2.031930</td>
<td>0.0443</td>
<td>Supports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROE</td>
<td>-25.97661</td>
<td>11.06035</td>
<td>-2.348625</td>
<td>0.0204</td>
<td>Supports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIZE</td>
<td>2.96E-05</td>
<td>9.71E-06</td>
<td>3.044659</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>Supports</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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