



Short Communication

Biomass of Fish Species in the Shadegan Wetland, IRAN

Seyed Ahmad Reza Hashemi, Gholamreza Eskandary and Hoshang Ansary
South of Iran aquaculture fishery research center, Ahwaz, IRAN

Available online at: www.isca.in

(Received 20th September 2011, revised 21st October 2011, accepted 5th November 2011)

Abstract

Biomass assessment and production of fish species was carried out from April 2010 to March 2011 in the Shadegan wetland. Samples were collected from five stations, Mahshar, Rogbe, Khorosy, Salmane and Ateish, in the Shadegan wetland in Khuzestan Provinces (Iran). More than 3900 specimen fish were measured during the study and depletion method was used for fish stock assessment. Maximum and minimum fish biomass (species) and fish production (species) were *Silurs triostegus*, *Barbus pectoralis* and *Barbus luteus*, *Barbus pectoralis* respectively. Maximum and minimum biomass (season) measurements were in Spring (380.40 kg/ha/year) and winter (58.41 kg/ha/year) respectively. Fish production and biomass in wetland Shadegan were estimated 137 (kg/ha/year) and 244 (kg/ha/year) respectively.

Key words: Biomass assessment, shadegan wetland, depletion method.

Introduction

Wetlands are supported as significant of species and wild life populations. Loss of wetland has disastrous effects in wild life and biodiversity that has important international and regional effects wild life, scientists believed that wetlands destruction are caused native species global extinction to completely depend on specific habitat¹.

Wetlands in the world are occupying about 7 to 9 million km² (4-6 percent of Earth surface). Iran wetland is approximately 1853762 ha and between Middle East wetland was contained 25 %². Shadegan Wetland in Khuzestan province is one of the 18 international wetlands registered on UNESCO's Natural Heritage List. Located 52 km from Abadan and 105 km from Ahwaz, it is Iran's largest wetland and by Linking Jarahi River connect with Persian Gulf waters, the wetland is considered one of the most wonderful natural landscape of the world because of it is unique biodiversity³. The Shadegan Wetland is a Ramsar-listed wetland in the south-west of Iran at the head of the Persian Gulf. It is the largest wetland of Iran covering about 400,000 hectares.

The wetland plays a significant hydrological and ecological role in the natural functioning of the northern Persian Gulf⁴. The aim of the present study was twofold: (i) to estimate its stock assessment status and fish production (ii) to determine, how population change of Shadegan wetland fish and the exploration pattern of the these population in this water resource. Results will greatly contribute to elaborating management programs for this economically important fish

species and preserve other fish species of the region under study.

Maramazi⁴, Ansari et al,^{5,6} and Hashemi et al⁷ were searched fish survey, stock assessment and capture conditions of Shadegan wetland. Lotfi et al.,³ were considered human activity and effect on shadegan wetland and also diversity and capture situation of Shadegan wetland.

Material and Methods

Biomass assessment and production of fish species was carried out from April 2010 to March 2011 in the Shadegan wetland. Samples were collected from at five stations, Mahshar (48°,45' E, 30°,33' N) Rogbe (48°,33' E, 30°,41' N), Khorosy (48°,40' E, 30°,39' N), Salmane (48°,28' E, 30°,40' N) and Ateish (48°,40' E, 30°,54' N) in the Shadegan wetland in Khuzestan provinces (figure 1). Shadegan wetland (Iran) is a wetland in the south-west of Iran in Khuzestan province. In each season, 5 stations were selected for sampling. Sampling was carried out by using fixed gill net with 45 mm mesh and then transported to lab, with dry ice. Total length with ± 1 mm and total weight with ± 0.01 (g) were measured for each fish. Depletion method involves deliberately overfishing an isolated population of fish⁸. After the commencement, N_t (Present fish number in time t) will be equal to the N_∞ (Original stock size), less the accumulated catch in time t, $\sum C_t$, ($N_t = N_\infty - \sum C_t$). Then by definition the catchability coefficient (q), at time t has: $N_t = CPUE_t / q$. By substituting equation is result: $CPUE_t = q N_\infty - q \sum C_t$. Catch Per Unit Effort at time t, $CPUE_t$ graphed against accumulated catch in time t, $\sum C_t$, referred to as a Leslie plot ($a =$ intercept and $b =$ Slope)⁸. By using data,

biomass amount in enclosed area was calculated and then according to this area, biomass amount in per hectare and finally was investigated for total Shadegan wetland. Amount of 800-2000 m² (enclosed area) was changed in different seasons and at each station according to environmental conditions. CPUE in each station was carried out for five days. Amount of habitable area for fish were considered in total at Shadegan wetland using satellite data on 56000 ha. Fish production value was calculated by the formula $\log P = 0.32 + 0.94 \log B_t - 0.17 \log W_{max}$. W_{max} and B_t were Maximum fish weight (g) and fish biomass (kg/ha), respectively⁹.

Results and Discussion

In this study, the fish biomass in spring and summer was calculated 381 kg/ha, 71 kg/ha, respectively. Average fish biomass in spring and summer of 1997, 70.2 kg/ha & 109.2 kg/ha, and in 2001, 186.5 kg/ha & 269.4 kg/ha and in 2009, 249 kg/ha & 216 kg/ha was calculated, respectively^{4,5,6,7}. In spring, summer and winter were increased of biomass comparing 1997, 2001, 2009 and in autumn was decreased comparing other of years (table 3). It seems, climate change and wetland nutrient elements are very effective factor that influenced on biomass. Based on this study, the maximum fish biomass was obtained in spring, it seems appropriate to wetland climate status^{7,10} and nutrients entering for river flow may be due to the reason and also maximum phytoplankton production, wetland phytobentos was showed in spring time¹⁰. Total fish biomass of the total Shadegan wetland that multiple average fish biomass (kg/ha) in amount of habitable area for fish 56000 ha was estimated about 14000 t /year. In 1997, the Maramazi, estimated that the total biomass of fish in Shadegan was 22,000 tonnes⁴, while this amount calculated 15,000 tons in 2003¹¹ and in 2009 was about 11000 tonnes⁷.

Total of fish biomass in with comparing 1997, 2001 was decreased, but in 2011 were increased. The productivity of these areas may have been reduced in approximate proportion to this loss of their floodplain areas. Also, the construction of dams in Khuzestan (Iran) since 1980 has also altered the hydrological regime dramatically^{7,10}. Water quality has also declined in both the Karoon and Jarahi rivers, with waters now carrying increased salinity from upstream irrigation works, and higher levels of agricultural chemicals and urban and industrial effluents¹⁰. Maroon dam construction and irrigation development in upper plains was changed in water flow³.

The aggregate impact of these changes is most of the remaining area was in Shadegan wetland. It seems induces four species of Cyprinidae (Cyprinus carpio, Hypophthalmichthys molitrix, Hypophthalmichthys nobilis, Ctenopharingodon idella) to Shadegan wetland in 2010 to 2011; biomass and production fishes have increased.

Abundance of fish populations in river, lake with river source and reservoirs widely changed from year to year and the relative frequency of different species is different in population. This change is affected by rainfall fluctuation and floods. The increasing area and flood flow time is improved spawning, growth and survived rate. Positive correlation between being floods and amount capture has in the next year^{12,13}. From a fisheries production perspective, it is important to recognize the enormous hydrological modifications suffered by the marshes in recent times. The fisheries productivity of healthy floodplain rivers is roughly proportional to the total area of the waters in the high-water flood season¹². The Khorosy stations in different seasons have high amount of fish biomass.

It seems, that entering the jarahi river for east side of the wetland and location of Khorosy station in near the river mouth and entering of nutrition element was caused to increase phytoplankton and phytobentozic production that caused to increase fish biomass in these areas. The high diversity of phytoplankton has due to stable ecological condition constant in Khorosy station in over the year¹⁰. With survey frequency of fish species in Shadegan wetland was changing comparing 1997 and 2009^{4,5,6}. According to data this study, species biomass *B. sharpeyi*, *B. lutus*, *C. carasius*, *L. abu*, *B. grypus*, *S. triostegus* was increased and species of *A. vorax*, *B. pectoralis*, *C. carpio* was decreased. It seems, with change in chemical, physical and ecological in wetland is changing diversity. Big species with high valuable were decreased and small species with less valuable species were increased. The increase catch in prolonged years can decrease species with high length and long life and replace low length and low life⁷. The *C. carpio* has highest rate of biomass to seem than can adapt with Shadegan wetland condition in different season. In autumn, with increasing freshwater input to wetland has increased diversity of river species such as *B. grypus*, *B. pectoralis* while in summer and early autumn (before rain fall) with increasing salinity were increased Marine species to wetland such as *Th. ilisha*, *T. ilisha*, *A. lutus*⁷.

The native marshland fish populations were originally dominated by Cyprinid fish of the genus *Barbus*. River species were usually reached for feeding and marine species for spawning and passing larval stages to the Shadegan wetland⁴. Coastal fisheries in the Persian Gulf used the marshlands for spawning migrations, and they was be used as nursery grounds for shrimp and fish. Several marine fish species of great economic importance are dependent on the estuarine systems and marshes for spawning, namely the *Pampus argenteus*, and *Tenuulosa ilisha*. The penaeid shrimp, *Metapenaeus affinis*, undertakes seasonal migrations between spawning in the gulf and nursery and feeding grounds in the Shadegan wetland¹¹. Amount of fish biomass and production in Shadegan wetland was 244 (kg/ha/year), 137 (kg/ha/year), respectively. Fish production in various

water body was (flood plains, water reservoirs, lakes and wetland) 8.8-54.7 (kg/ha/year). These changes are shown in table 4^{14, 15, 16, 17, 18}.

Conclusion

Considering fish production and biomass values it can be concluded that: fish production of Shadegan wetland was most of inland water and is one of area with high potential.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Maramazi, the manager of the South of Iran aquaculture fishery research center, Ahwaz. We are also very grateful the experts of the South of Iran Aquaculture Fishery Research Center, Ahwaz for helping the project work.

References

1. UNNEP., The Mesopotamian Marshlands: Demise of an ecosystem, early warning and assessment report, UNEP/DEWA/TR.01-3 Rev.1, Division of Early Warning and Assessment, Nations Environmental Program, Nairobi, Kenya (2001)
2. Mitsch W.J. and Gosselink J.G., The value of wetlands: Importance of scale and landscape setting, *Ecological Economics*, **35(1)**, 25-35 (2000)
3. Lotfi A., Ghafari H., Behrozirad B., Savari A. and Kawosi K., Human activity and their affect in shadegan Wetland, Conselor Enging publisher (2003)
4. Maramazi Gh., Fish stock assessment in Shadegan Wetland, South of Iran Aquaculture Fishery Research Center, Ahwaz, Iran, 57p (1997)
5. Ansari H. and Mohammadi G.H., Capture fishing status in shadegan Wetland. South of Iran Aquaculture Fishery Research Center, Ahwaz, Iran, 60p (2001)
6. Hashemi S.A.R., Eskandari G.H. and Ansari H., Survey fishing status and Biomass fish in Shadegan Wetland. Wetland Journal, Islamic Azad University (Ahwaz branch), **1(4)**, 3-9 (2010)
7. Hashemi S. A. R., Eskandary G.H. and Ansari H., Stock Assessment and Production of Fish Species in the Shadegan Wetland, Iran; World Journal of Fish and Marine Sciences **3(6)**, 502-508 (2011)
8. King M., Fisheries Biology & Assessment and Management Fishing News Press, 340 (2007)
9. Downing J.A. and Plante C., Production of fish population in lakes, *Can. J. ish. Aquat. Sci.*, **50**,110-120 (1993)
10. Kholfenilsaz M., Survey frequency and diversity planktonic in shadegan Wetland. Scientific Journal of Marine Biology, Islamic Azad University Ahwaz Branch, **1(1)**, 1-12 (2009)
11. Ansari H., Fishing status in shadegan Wetland. South of Iran Aquaculture Fishery Research Center, Ahwaz, Iran, 85 (2003)
12. Welcomme R., Inland fisheries ecology and management, Food and Agriculture Organization and Fishing News Books, Blackwell Science Ltd. 345 (2001)
13. Welcomme R., Review of the present state of knowledge of fish stock and fisheries of Africa river In D.P Dodge (ed.) proceeding of the international large River Symposium, Canadian Special publication of Fisheries and Aquatic Science, **106** 515-532 (1989)
14. Jenning S., Kasier M. and Reynold J., Marine Fisheries Ecology Black well Science, 391 (2000)
15. Beveridge M.c.M., Cage and pen fish farming, Carrying capacity models and environmental impact, FAO Fisheries Technical Paper, 225 (1996)
16. Dugan P., Investig in Africa the world fish centers African strategy in summary In M.J Williams (ed.) NAGA World fish Center Quarterly, **26(3)**, 4-7 (2003)
17. Ita E.O., Inland fishery resources of Nigeria, CIFA Occasional papers No.20, Food and Agriculture of the United Nations (FAO) Rome, 120 (1993)
18. Welcomme R., Inland Fisheries Ecology and Management Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nation by Black wall Science, 345 (2001)