Soil-radon fluctuation and local climate parameters - a multifractal cross-correlation study
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Abstract

The use of Radon (Rn) gas as an effective precursor for earthquake detection have been well established, but the correlation of Rn time series with other climatic parameters such as rainfall, humidity is often neglected. But these parameters do play an outstanding role in the domain of pre-seismic surveillance. Fractal analysis of complex time series data provides efficient means of characterizing and quantifying the long range temporal correlations present in the signal. In this work, we have applied robust nonlinear tools like Detrended Fluctuation Analysis (DFA), Multifractal DFA (MFDFA) to characterize time series data of Rn, temperature, rainfall and humidity for the last 10 years ranging from September 2005-August 2014. Also, we have used the Multifractal Detrended Cross-Correlation Analysis, MFDXA for the assessment of the degree of association present between the different time series data mentioned above. The results show that the Rn time series has the strongest amount of correlation with the temperature time series data. This study with rigorous methods presents interesting results that should be taken care of as a caution before confident identification of occurrence of earthquakes.
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Introduction

Radon is a chemical element bearing a symbol Rn and having atomic no. 86. It is a radioactive noble gas occurred naturally in the environment and is obtained from the decay of U via Ra. Rn has 39 isotopes from 193Rn to 231Rn but among them the most stable one is 222Rn having a half-life of 3.82 days. Rn has only 3 radioactive isotopes, namely: 222Rn (3:82d), 220Rn(55s) and 219Rn (~ 4s), in natural environment. In nature, the 4 isotopes 218,219,220,222Rn arise as a degrading product of 218At, 223Ra, 224Ra, and 226Ra respectively.

Rn is the main cause of most of the radioactivity in the atmosphere at sea level. As a radio-active and chemically inactive gas, Rn releases from soil and rupture of the rocks under the substratum in the ground. In soil, 10% of the Rn is diluted to the atmosphere. Besides soil, Rn also exists in lumped rock, building materials, subterranean and shallow waters. Due to a number of factors like distribution of Ur (especially 238U) in the same series) in the soil and in substratum, soil porosity, humidity, micro-cracks of substratum, rainfall, air temperature etc the migration of Rn upwards are affected. While all generated Rn atoms are diluted in fluids, only a percentage of Rn emerge porous media and fragmented rock and dissolve into the pore's fluid.

Different methodologies have been proposed in the literature for monitoring non-tectonic variables of both geophysical and geochemical nature, of which, Rn gas monitoring proved to be very promising among all other methods, due to its connection with the highly deformed tectonic region and various advantages in its detection as well. For the prognosis of earthquakes, Rn has also been utilized extensively. Rn drifts from its production region and is almost chemically inactive. It can be easily detected even at low levels.

The earthquake is a trembling of the ground usually stimulated by the liberation of underground tension along the rifts. 222Rn gas is constantly formed within the rock of the earth as an intermediary decay outcome of the 238U radioactive sequence. The gas fluxes along the faults or fractures during the stress-strain occurring in the earth’s crust as a result of an earthquake. These transport the Rn gas from its birthplace towards the surface of the earth. The half-life of Rn gas [T1/2 = 3.82 days] is on the higher side and hence it can spread at the decreasing rate in ground soil. Hence the Rn concentrations that are counted must be several kilometres away from the original source. The observation of Rn in consistency analogous to the seismic activity has been the food for study in a number of experiments around the globe. Different instruments were used for this purpose like alpha guard, ZnS(Ag) detector, Lucas type scintillation chamber, SSNTD. Active fault areas like Antarctica, Mexico, East Europe etc, have proven to be an important indicator in the use of radon flux in pre-seismic event detection.

Because of increase in crustal confining, Rn anomalous concentration may be induced, that extract the Rn gas into the atmosphere at an intensify rate before an earthquake, according to King’s (1978) compression mechanism. Hauksson reported...
that for earthquakes of higher magnitude, Rn anomalies occur at larger epicentral distances, while the precursor time is less when the distance between the epicenter and the Rn monitoring station is small. For seismic events, Rn anomaly can act as a precursor. Hence the time series of Rn fluctuation pattern bears a lot of significance. Walia have found a stronger relationship between Rn incongruity and seismic parameters in N–W Himalaya, a distinct increase in $^{222}\text{Rn}$ concentration in the thermal spring of Bakreswar have been observed before the tsunami in Indonesia in 2004 having 9.1 magnitude earthquake. Earthquake predecessor study associating soil and subsoil water Rn measurement has been reported by Ramola. Pre-seismic trademarks in the coast of Rn gas, in the lower part of the Himalayan region at an elevation of 800 meters have been observed by Barman et al. overhead the mean sea level. To study the reservoir-triggered earthquakes in the Koyna–Warna region, alongside the west coast of India, Reddyhave performed a study on soil radon. Before the current earthquakes in Nepal and in the eastern part of India mainly on 25th of April in Nepal having magnitude 7.8, exceptional variations in the datasets of radon have been noticed during the track period.

Thus, we have seen that a number of earlier works which supports the fact that Rn anomaly provides important clues as a precursor of earthquake. Radon fluctuation has been identified by celebrated nuclear scientist Charpak as the most efficient precursor of earthquake. However this fluctuation is often vitiated by local climatic parameters such as temperature, rainfall, relative humidity etc. But these parameters are not often taken into consideration from the point of view of any rigorous scientific analysis. For the first time, we have tried to correlate the changes of local climatic parameters with radon gas anomaly using latest nonlinear techniques. We present in this paper a correlation study in non-linear scenario between radon concentration and climate parameters like temperature, rainfall, humidity etc. using current predicament of the art skills such as MFDXA (Multifractal Detrended Cross-Correlation Analysis).

The word ‘fractal’ was first introduced by Beniot Mandelbrot from the Latin adjective fractus (the corresponding Latin verb frangere means “to break” to create irregular fragments) for indicating objects whose complex geometry cannot be distinguished by an integral dimension. Its ability to describe the irregular or shattered shape of natural features as well as complex objects that cannot be represented by traditional Euclidean geometry is the main attraction of fractal geometry.

The Detrended Fluctuation Analysis technique extracts the scaling exponent corresponding to long-term correlated sequence. The other remarkable techniques are the time-evolvement of the fractal acreage, the Hurst exponent and the materialistic difference of heterogeneous metrics of degeneration respectively. It is to be noted that these techniques can trace the memory patterns which are longer, concealed in the pre-earthquake time sequence. To study the fluctuations in earthquake related geoelectrical signals, the MF DFA algorithm have been applied by Telesca et al. The geophysical phenomenon is very complex and the earthquake-related geoelectrical variability is concealed by it and is conducted by completely unknown physical laws. The authors have shown that by means of Multifractal parameters, maximum asymmetry, width and range, the Multifractal analysis has led to a better understanding of the complexity. The Multifractility is mainly due to different long range correlations for small and large fluctuations. This was reported after considering worldwide data set of 91 Rn anomalies and randomly shuffling the surrogate series data. The multifractal singularity spectrum and the spectral width generated from therein helped in identifying the complexity values associated with each signal. Temporal correlations and Multifractal properties of long river discharge records were investigated around the globe from 41 hydrological stations by Bunde et al. It was obtained that all the 41 records of Multifractal spectra can be explained by a ‘universal’ function $\tau(q)$, obtained from a generalization of the fluctuation exponent $H$ and the width $\Delta\alpha$ of the singularity spectrum respectively.

In many earlier works, the outcomes of inconsistency of soil radongot from Kolkata [$22°32′N$ and $88°24′E$] and Jalpaiguri ($26°32′N$, $88°46′E$) were reported. The previous study on Kolkata region provided interesting results on the use of Rn fluctuation as an indicator of pre-seismic event, in spite of Kolkata which is not being located in seismically active fault zone. For comparing to the results of Kolkata, a new project was started at Jalpaiguri, West Bengal, and it is located in a zone which is seismically active. It was seen that in the Jalpaiguri site, the average of the radon contamination was over the top, approximately near the factor of 40, thus more conclusively establishing the use of Rn gas anomaly as a precursor to earthquake, and its use as an earthquake surveillance monitor.

Here, the scrutiny of Rn time series data for the last 10 years viz. September 2005 to August 2014 have been studied in the perspective of chaos based methods in nonlinear scenario to obtain reliable and precise information. Very few works have been reported where study of radon concentration and local climatic parameters were made. Moreover, the methods used in these studies did not use nonlinear techniques essential for this kind of study. We analyze soil radon anomaly time series with MF DFA technique and also try to correlate the radon anomaly with that of temperature and relative humidity data using Multifractal cross correlation (MFDXA) technique.

**Experimental Details:** Rn monitoring is done here by using a track etch method of cellulose nitrate film with the help of CR-39plates which is a Solid State Nuclear Track Detector (SSNTD). The name of this allyl diglycol polycarbonate ($\text{C}_3\text{H}_6\text{O}_3$) has been abbreviated from ‘Columbia Resin’, registered type no. 39. The CR-39 plates having size 1cm by 1 cm is slit and attached to the extremity of a cup having a tap and enclosed with acytomembrane which permits only Rn gas to enter.
proceed. The dimensions of the cup are as follows: Height is taken as 4.7 cm, Diameter is taken as 6.3 cm and 5.9 cm at the open end and at the closed end respectively. The cup containing the SSNTDs is placed in a metal container (with both ends open) kept 70 cm inside earth surface. A layer of silica gel around the cup absorbs the moisture on the surface. In an undisturbed ambiance, exposing of the CR-39 plates are done for 48 hours and the plates are subjected to chemical etching. Etching of the plates are done in 6N NaOH solution; the time needed is 6 hours and the temperature needed is 70°C. The alpha particle tracks in the plates are scanned by Carl Zeiss Jenaval microscope by using 10x objective in concurrence with 10x optic lens. The no. of tracks/cm² for Radon is calculated in this manner.

Methodology
The fractal techniques have been applied as per the methodology given in our book "Musicality of Human Brain through Fractal Analytics"37.

Detrended Fluctuation Analysis (DFA): There are total five steps involves in the generalized multifractal DFA (MF-DFA) procedure. Among them the first three steps are homogeneous to the conventional DFA procedure. Let us assume\([x_1, x_2, ..., x_N]\) be a time series of length N and it is non-stationary.

Step 1: The first step involves changing the type of the as follows:
\[ Y(i) = \sum (x_k - \bar{x}) \]  
(1)
Where average value of the signal is represented by \( \bar{x} \).

Step 2: The integration reduces the finite data and the measurement level noise present in the experimental records. The entire length of the signal consists of certain no. of samples has been bisected into Ns number of sections. Then for sample size s and total length N of the signal, the segments are

\[ N_s = \text{int}\left( \frac{N}{s} \right) \]  
(2)

Step 3: The function \( F(s, v) \) is of size s and for any sample it is the local RMS dissimilarity:
\[ F^2(s, v) = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{i=1}^{s} (Y [(v-1)s + i] - y_v (i))^2 \]  
(3)

Step 4: The fluctuation function \( F_q(s) \) having the q-th form is represented as follows:
\[ F_q(s) = \left\{ \frac{1}{N_s} \sum_{v=1}^{N_s} [F^2(s, v)]^{\frac{2}{q}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \]  
(4)

where q is an index and except zero which can take all possible values. Because if q = 0 then the factor 1/q is infinite. This procedure can be repeated by varying the value of s.

Step 5: The scaling behavior of the fluctuation function is obtained by the relation
\[ F_q(s) \sim s^{h(q)} \]  
(5)

where \( h(q) \) is called the generalized Hurst exponent and can be expressed as the slope of a double logarithmic plot. The mono fractal scaling exponent \( \alpha \) is obtained for q=2. A time series which is monofractal in nature is specified by unique \( h(q) \) for all values of q. The autocorrelation properties of the signal are represented by parameter \( \alpha \) which is also called as scaling exponent. By following the NBT algorithm, DFA technique was applied, which gives a perceptible measure of long range temporal correlation (LRTC) which exists in the time series38.

Scaling exponents having value greater than 0.5 and less than 1 will be created by power-law behaviour when applied to any time series data having temporal correlation of long range order. In the time series the LRTC are more persistent i.e. decaying more slowly with time when the scaling exponent increases from 0.5 to 1. When the scaling exponent having a value greater than 1, power law behaviour is not revealed by the LRTC for a long time. Finally, when the scaling exponent = 1.5, this specifies Brownian noise and it is the integration of white noise. For all the Rn time series data, the DFA scaling exponent \( \alpha \) values were figured out from eq. (5).
Multifractal DFA (MF DFA): Most of the time series signals that we observe in daily life can hardly be characterized by using a single scaling exponent; hence the need arises to quantify them using multiple scaling exponents based on their scaling properties. The Multifractal Detrending algorithm (MF DFA) was detailed as an offshoot of DFA while catering different scaling ratios\(^9\), for these more practical cases. The standard DFA procedure is reclaimed by putting \(q = 2\) in eqn. (5). Our keen interest is observe how the fluctuation functions \(F_q(s)\) which is \(q\) dependent, rely on the time scale \(s\) for different values of \(q\). Hence, from step 2 to step 4 must redo for several time scales \(s\). It is clearly visible that \(F_q(s)\) will increase as \(s\) increases. Again, on the Detrended Fluctuation Analysis having order \(m\), \(F_q(s)\) is dependent of and for \(s \geq m + 2\), \(F_q(s)\) is explained only by construction. Again, with distinct values of \(q\), the earlier step is repeated.

Step 5: The double logarithmic plot of \(F_q(s)\) vs. \(s\) for each value of \(q\) analyzes the scaling pattern of fluctuation functions. Now, according to power-law, \(F_q(s)\) rises for large values of \(s\), if the series \(x\) is long-range power-law correlated.

\[
F_q(s) \sim s^h(q) \quad (6)
\]

The exponent \(h(q)\) depends on \(q\) generally. \(h(2)\) is identical with the Hurst exponent \(H\) for a stationary time series. Thus the function \(h(q)\) is called as the generalized Hurst exponent.

The relation between the generalized Hurst exponent \(h(q)\) of MFDFA and the classical scaling exponent \(\tau(q)\) is as follows:

\[
\tau(q) = qh(q) - 1 \quad (7)
\]

A monofractal series with long range correlation is specified by a single Hurst exponent \(H\), while multifractal time series signal possess multiple Hurst exponents dependent on \(q\).

The relation between singularity spectrum \(f(\alpha)\) and \(h(q)\) is given as follows

\[
a = h(q) + qh'(q) \\
f(\alpha) = q[\alpha - h(q)] + 1
\]

Where \(\alpha\) denotes the singularity power or Holder exponent and \(f(\alpha)\) specifies the acreage corresponding to the subset sequence that is specified by \(\alpha\). The span of the multifractal spectrum manifests the extent of scaling exponents. Quantitatively the spectra can be categorized by using least square method suitable to a quadratic function\(^{10}\) in the neighbourhood of extreme \(\alpha_0\),

\[
f(\alpha) = P (\alpha - \alpha_0)^2 + Q (\alpha - \alpha_0) + R
\]

Where \(R\) is an add-on constant, \(R = f(\alpha_0) = 1\) and \(Q = 0\) for a magnificently consistent spectrum which measures the asymmetry value for the spectrum. The spectral width can be calculated by hypothesizing the appropriate quadratic curve to zero.

Multifractal spectral span \(W\) is explained as follows,

\[
W = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2
\]

With \(f(\alpha_1) = f(\alpha_2) = 0\)

The span of the parabola has given by the measurement of the entanglement of the signal. As \(W\) increases, the multifractality of the time series rises. For a monofractal time series, as \(h(q)\) does not depend on \(q\), the width has a value equal to zero. The origin of multifractality has been verified by the arbitrary shambling of the \(Rn\) time series data. Random shuffling of the raw data destroys all the correlations present in the signal which are of long range order by giving a completely uncorrelated signal in return. Thus a non-fractal scaling will be displayed by the shuffled data when subjected to multifractal analysis technique.

For the 10 points of \(q\) which were in between \(-5\) to \(+5\), variation function \(F_q(s)\) was acquired which is of \(q\)th order. For demolishing all the correlations present in the data which are of long range order, the values of time series have been randomly shuffled and the remaining part is the totally uncorrelated sequence. For the scales varying from 16 to 1024, in the plot for the \(Rn\) time series, the regression double logarithmic scenario of \(\ln F_q(s)\) vs. \(\ln(s)\) for divergent merits of \(q\) [from \(q = -3\) to \(q = +3\)] has been represented which is given in Figure-2(a-b). The value of \(h(q)\) achieves from the slope of the best suitable line obtained from the double logarithmic scenario of \(\ln F_q(s)\) vs. \(\ln(s)\). From Figure-2(b), it can be seen that with the changes in \(q\), the time series has independents hambled values and thus having a definite slope \([h(q) = H]\) for monofractal time series, which is the nothing but the Hurst exponent.

Figure-3(a) shows the dissimilarities of \(h(q)\) with \(q\) for \(Rn\) time series for representative purpose. It is evident that the \(h\) \((q)\) decreases with the increase of \(q\), giving a proof of multifractal scaling in the \(Rn\) time series data. While for all values of \(q\), the shuffled series shows a single value of \(h(q)\), while with \(q\), the original \(Rn\) time series has varying values of \(h(q)\). As said earlier, from the span of the multifractal spectrum \([f(\alpha) vs q]\), the evaluation of the amount of multifractality present in the time series of various climatic parameters quantitatively is obtained. The original span of the signal is bigger than the shuffled span always and that can be seen from Figure-3(b). The shuffled series will show a peak nearby of 0.5 at \(\alpha_0\) in the plot of \(f(\alpha)\) vs. \(\alpha\), if monofractal nature exists in the shuffled data.

The detrended cross-correlation analysis (DCCA) investigates the long-term cross-correlations between two non-stationary time series\(^{11,12}\). The multifractal features of two cross-correlated signals can be revealed by the Multifractal Detrended Cross-Correlation Analysis (MF-DXA)\(^{15-18}\).
Multifractal Detrended Cross-Correlation Analysis (MFDXA): MFDXA method is a branch of the generalized MFDFA method. Two data series $x(i)$ and $y(i)$ are represented here as

$$X(i) = \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{N} x(k) - x_{\text{avg}} \right] \text{ for } i = 1 \ldots N$$

$$Y(i) = \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{N} y(k) - y_{\text{avg}} \right] \text{ for } i = 1 \ldots N$$

The following algorithm is similar to MF DFA method, just that we have to take $2N_s$ bins here. After averaging over $2N_s$ bins, we have got the $q$th order covariance $F_q(s)$.

$$F_q(s) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2N_s} \sum_{p=1}^{2N_s} \left[ F(s, v) \right]^{\gamma(q)} \right\}^{1/q}$$

(11)

$F_q(s)$ increases as $s$ increases, power law behaviour will represent by the function $F_q(s)$ in the form

$$F_q(s) \sim s^{\lambda(q)}.$$  

(12)

By taking $\lambda(q)$ as the slope, $\ln F_q$ will rests on $\ln s$ linearly if such scaling exists. The degree of cross-correlation in between the two time series is denoted by the scaling exponent $\lambda(q)$. $\lambda(q)$ rests on $q$ in general. As $F_q$ blows up at $q = 0$, it is not possible to get the value of $\lambda(0)$ directly. A logarithmic averaging procedure is applied to get $F_q$ instead of the normal averaging procedure

$$F_0(s) = \left\{ \frac{1}{4N_s} \sum_{p=1}^{2N_s} \left[ F(s, v) \right] \right\} \sim s^{\lambda(0)}.$$  

(13)

The method reduces to standard DCCA for $q = 2$. If $\lambda(q)$ the scaling exponent does not depend on $q$, then between the two time series, there exists the monofractal cross-correlations. On the contrary, if the scaling exponent $\lambda(q)$ depends on $q$, the multifractal cross-correlations exists between two time series. Among the two time series $x(i)$ and $y(i)$, the degree of cross-correlation is denoted by the scaling exponent $\lambda(q)$.

The auto-correlation function is as follows:

$$C(\tau) = \langle [x(i + \tau) - \langle x \rangle] [x(i) - \langle x \rangle] \rangle \sim \tau^\gamma.$$  

(13)
The cross-correlation function is as follows:

$$C_x(\tau) = \langle [x(i + \tau) - \langle x \rangle][y(i) - \langle y \rangle] \rangle \sim \tau^{\gamma_x}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Where $\gamma$ and $\gamma_x$ respectively denote auto-correlation and cross-correlation exponents. Due to the superimposing of uneven and drifts on the composed data, usually straight calculation of these exponents are not proposed; rather the Detrended Fluctuation Analysis method is the authentic method to compute autocorrelation exponent, namely $\gamma = 2 - 2h$ ($q = 2$)\textsuperscript{49}. The relation between cross-correlation exponent, $\gamma_x$, and scaling exponent $\lambda(q)$ is as follows: $\gamma_x = 2 - 2\lambda(q = 2)$\textsuperscript{50}. $\gamma_x = 1$ for non-correlated data while $\gamma_x = -1$ for a strongly correlated data. Thus, the data is more correlated as lower the value of $\gamma$ and $\gamma_x$.

With $q$, for the two particular samples Rn concentration and temperature, Figure-4 describes the dissimilarity of cross correlation scaling exponent $\lambda(q)$. The two samples separately obtained from MF DFA technique, the dissimilarity between $h(q)$ and $q$ have been represented in the same stature for comparison. The figure clearly represents that the cross-correlated signal also shows multifractal behavior just like the individual signals.

![Figure-4: The dissimilarity of $\lambda(q)$ and $h(q)$ for two time series Rn concentration and temperature.](image)

The multifractal spectrum of the cross correlated sample of Rn and temperature time series have been plotted in Figure-5. This particular figure represents the amount of multifractality present in the cross-correlated sample even. In this paper, though we are primarily concerned with how the values of $\gamma_x$ change for different local climatic parameter and soil radon fluctuation, the amount of multifractality present in these cross-correlated signals will be dealt with in a forthcoming study. In the Results and Discussion section, we will elaborate on how the degree of cross-correlation, $\gamma_x$, varies for different time series combinations and what impact it has on the climatic outcomes that we see in the Universe.

**Results and discussion**

The following figures show the time series of Rn concentration, temperature, humidity and rainfall for the last 10 years.

![Figure-6: Rn concentration time series for the last ten years.](image)
Figure 7: Temperature concentration time series for the last ten years.

Figure 8: Humidity concentration time series for the last ten years.

Figure 9: Rainfall concentration time series for the last ten years.
From the time series analysis of Rn count, temperature variation, humidity and rainfall concentration, we have evaluated the Hurst exponent, multifractal width and autocorrelation exponent corresponding to each of the time series. The long range temporal correlations present in the time series has been reflected by the Hurst exponent, while the complicated values related to the time series are given by the multifractal spectral width. A measure of the self-correlation in the time series is nothing but the autocorrelation exponent. Table-1 gives the measures of Hurst Exponent, autocorrelation and multifractal width of the different time series.

Table-1: The variation of auto-correlation, DFA exponent and Multifractal spectral width within 2005-2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rn Count</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Humidity</th>
<th>Rainfall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hurst Exponent</td>
<td>1.095</td>
<td>1.266</td>
<td>0.917</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto correlation</td>
<td>0.668</td>
<td>0.545</td>
<td>0.463</td>
<td>0.386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifractal Width</td>
<td>0.715</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>0.589</td>
<td>0.653</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Table-1, we have plotted the corresponding bar graphs which depict the variation of different measurement parameters. The SD values have been shown in the form of error bars corresponding to each of the parameter which essentially denotes the computational error corresponding to each of the measurements. Figure-10 represents the variation of specific parameters.

This investigation of Rn time series function for 10 years and local climate parameters with nonlinear rigorous approach reveals: i. All the time series used herein, i.e. Rn, temperature, humidity, rainfall are multifractal in nature with different multifractal widths i.e. of varying complexity, ii. Also, all the time series are auto-correlated with different values, iii. Both the Hurst Exponent and multifractal spectral width show values on the higher side for temperature variation and rainfall.

Next, by using the MFDXA technique, the cross-correlation coefficient has been assessed, corresponding to each pair of local climatic parameters. The degree of correlation subsists between the two climatic parameters has been measured by the cross-correlation coefficient $\gamma_x$, and may thus be considered as a very important measure of how the change in one parameter affects the other. This may be considered very crucial while developing an automated earthquake surveillance system. The MFDXA technique goes into such depths of the complex geophysical time series, just like a mathematical microscope which is not possible by any other methods. Table-2 represents the cross-correlation coefficients corresponding to different pairs of climatic parameters as measured in our experiment.

Table-2: Multifractal Detrended Cross Correlation of Radon concentration with Temperature, Humidity, Rainfall within 2005-2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cross-correlation Coefficient ($\gamma_x$)</th>
<th>Rn Count vs Temperature</th>
<th>Temperature vs Humidity</th>
<th>Rn Count vs Humidity</th>
<th>Rn Count vs Rainfall</th>
<th>Temperature vs Rainfall</th>
<th>Humidity vs Rainfall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rn Count vs Temperature</td>
<td>-0.692</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature vs Humidity</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rn Count vs Humidity</td>
<td>-0.327</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rn Count vs Rainfall</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature vs Rainfall</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humidity vs Rainfall</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure-11 illustrates the findings of Table-2, where the error bars correspond to standard computational errors in each of the measurement.

Figure-10: Graph showing the scaling parameters for Rn, temperature, rainfall and humidity.
As pointed out earlier, as the value of cross-correlation coefficient decreases, the degree of correlation between the two time series signals increases. It can be seen from Figure-11 that soil Rn emission is strongly correlated with local climate parameters including temperature, rainfall and humidity. Maximum cross-correlation occurs between Rn emission and temperature as values of cross-correlation coefficient is minimum in this case.

**Conclusion**

Since local climate parameters play a significant role in emission of soil radon, before use of this time series for earthquake surveillance, this observation should be taken care of judiciously. As discussed earlier, Radon fluctuation happens to be most sensitive and useful parameter for seismic surveillance. Many time series of Rn has been used for identifying earthquakes. This study with rigorous methods should be taken care of as a caution before confident identification of occurrence of earthquakes.
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