

Research Journal of Animal, Veterinary and Fishery Sciences _____ Vol. 1(7), 1-4, August (2013)

Morphometric and Meristic Study of Golden Mahseer (*Tor Putitora*) from Jhajjar Stream (JandK), India

Langer S, Tripathi N.K. and Khajuria B.

Department of Zoology, University of Jammu, Baba Sahib Ambedkar Road, Jammu, JandK, INDIA

Available online at: www.isca.in Received 22nd May 2013, revised 25th July 2013, accepted 19th August 2013

Abstract

A study on the morphometric characters and meristic count of Himalayan Mahseer (Tor putitora) was conducted in foot hill section of River Chenab (Jammu and Kashmir). Different samples of mahseer were examined for the study of morphometric and meristic count. Some deviations, with regard to a few morphometric parameters and meristic count have been observed that have been duly discussed in the following discussions. Positive correlation has been observed between total length and external body parts. Thus, population appeared to be relatively uniform in all the characters examined, thus following an isometric pattern.

Keywords: Tor putitora, meristic count, morphometric characters and isometric growth.

Introduction

Golden mahseer (*Tor putitora*) is the most important commercial fish of Jammu and Kashmir. It is considered an important game fish by anglers¹ and inhabits the montane and submontane regions, streams and rivers in mid hills stretch of Himalayan region.

Identification of a species one of the important and authentic step of research works for identifying a specimen ². These measurements include total, standard, body weight and condition factor ³. It is also suitable study for recognising the degree of reproductive maturation without sacrificing the animal. Morphometric studies are not only essential to understand the taxonomy but the variation in its features are probably related to the habit and habitat among the variants in this species⁴. The present study is designed with objective to analyse these measurements for *Tor putitora*.

Methodology

Mahseer sampling was done from March 2012 to Feb. 2013 in Jhajjar stream (Tributary of river Chenab) of Jammu. During this period monthly sampling of fishes was carried out with the help of cast net. A total of 60 specimens of mahseer were collected from different sections of Jhajjar stream. The collected fish specimens were preserved in 10% formalin for further studies. All the characters were measured to the nearest millimetres and weighed on electric balance to nearest gram. All counts and measurements are taken following Day ⁵ and Jayaram ^{6.7,8}. The fishes were categorised into three groups accordingly on the basis of their total length as shown in table 1 and the abbreviation of all the body parameters to be calculated is as given in table-2.

| Table-1 Length groups of Mahseer | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| Length Group | Length (cms) | | | |
| Length Group I | 8cm – 12 cm | | | |
| Length Group II | 12 cm – 16 cm | | | |
| Length Group III | 16 cm – 20 cm | | | |

Result and Discussion

A total of 60 specimens ranging from 9.2 cm to 18 cm total length (TL) and 9.9gm to 60 gm body weight (WWPS) were used for morphometric and meristic studies. All the morphometric parameters of *Tor putitora* revealed a proportional increase in total length of fish under study in all three groups as shown in table 3. The morphometric ratio measured among all the three length groups showed isometric growth with increasing body length. A partly change in growth rate was found in MBL of group I GM of Group II and AFB, HD, RBL, MBL, ED, DFB, PFL, VFL and AFB of Group III and is probably because of range effect of grouping. Similar results have been reported by Hazarika *et* al.⁹ in hill trout *Barilius bendelisis* from river Buroi of Assam. Apart, our observations are also in accordance with those made by Zafar *et* al.¹⁰ in *Tor putitora* from foot hill river Korang, Pakistan.

The meristic count including LLS, ALSS, BLSS, PdS, DFR, PFR, VFR, AFR and CFR were counted and are presented in table-4. Since all the parameters are almost constant in all the length groups of fish with different body length, therefore conclude that meristic count is independent of body length. Interestingly, one major meristic character i.e. DFR exhibit a sharp deviation from those recorded by Zafar *et* al.¹⁰ in *Tor putitora* in foot hill river Korang, Pakistan , which in present case has been observed to be [11(2/9)] while the number recorded by the above referred author happen to be [12(4/8)]. Same is the case with LLS in all the three

groups of present study which follows a range of 24-29 where as those reported by Zafar *et* al.¹⁰ in *Tor putitora* in Korang River were reported to be 17.

The relationship between total length and external body parts is studied. A positive correlation (table-5) is found in all parameters except PdL in length group I, AFL of length group II as well HL, ED, PFL, MBL and AFB of length group III showed negative correlation with TL and thus showed significant correlation. The most highly correlated body

parameters in relation to TL are PdL (0.9550) of length group I, SL (0.9722) of length group II and PFB (0.9891) of length group III whereas least correlation for MBL (0.2573) of length group I, ED (0.2433) of length group II and MBL (0.3991) of length group III. The PFL of length group III is highly negatively correlated (0.9816) all the morphometric characters examined, all exhibit a significant positive correlation (p<0.001) which indicate isometric growth in all organs of *Tor putitora* under natural condition.

| S No | Rody parameters Abbreviations | | | | |
|---------|-------------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| 1 | Weight of preserved specimen | WWPS | | | |
| 1 | Total length | | | | |
| 2 | Fork length | FI | | | |
| 5 4 | Standard length | SI | | | |
| т 5 | Pre dorsal length | PdI | | | |
| 5 | Pre-pectoral length | PnI | | | |
| 0 7 | Head length | трс ні | | | |
| 8 | Rody length | HD | | | |
| 0 | Body denth | RD | | | |
| 9 10 | Shout length | SNI | | | |
| 10 | Shout length | | | | |
| 11 | Upper jaw length | UJL | | | |
| 12 | Lower Jaw length | | | | |
| 15 | Bastral harbol langth | | | | |
| 14 | Kostrai barbel length | KDL | | | |
| 15 | Maxillary barbel length | MBL | | | |
| 10 | Eye diameter | ED | | | |
| 1/ | Dorsal fin length | DFL | | | |
| 18 | Dorsal fin base | DFB | | | |
| 19 | Pectoral fin length | PFL | | | |
| 20 | Pectoral fin base | PFB | | | |
| 21 | Ventral fin length | VFL | | | |
| 22 | Ventral fin base | VFB | | | |
| 23 | Anal fin length | AFL | | | |
| 24 | Anal fin base | AFB | | | |
| 25 | Caudal fin length | CFL | | | |
| 26 | Caudal fin base | CFB | | | |
| 27 | Lateral line scales | LLS | | | |
| 28 | Pre-dorsal scales | PdS | | | |
| 29 | Above lateral line scales | ALLS | | | |
| 30 | Below lateral line scales | BLLS | | | |
| 31 | Dorsal fin ray | DFR | | | |
| 32 | Pectoral fin ray | PFR | | | |
| 33 | Ventral fin ray | VFR | | | |
| 34 | Anal fin ray | AFR | | | |
| 35 | Caudal fin ray | CFR | | | |

 Table-2

 Abbreviations of morphometric and meristic characters

| Measurement | Ι | Length grou | ip I | Length group II | | | Length group III | | |
|---------------|--------|-------------|-----------|-----------------|--------|-----------|------------------|--------|-----------|
| (cm) | Mean | Median | Standard | Mean | Median | Standard | Mean | Median | Standard |
| | | | deviation | | | deviation | | | deviation |
| WWPS | 12.613 | 11.05 | 2.870 | 28.426 | 27.05 | 8.976 | 50.75 | 49.5 | 6.800 |
| TL | 10.686 | 10.75 | 0.734 | 13.546 | 13.5 | 0.995 | 16.575 | 16.15 | 0.960 |
| FL | 9.283 | 9.45 | .698 | 15.450 | 11.7 | 0.966 | 14.525 | 14.35 | 0.928 |
| SL | 8.36 | 8.4 | 0.664 | 0.719 | 10.55 | 0.905 | 13.325 | 13.15 | 0.842 |
| PdL | 4.3 | 4.4 | 0.387 | 5.546 | 5.5 | 0.492 | 6.775 | 6.75 | 0.170 |
| PpL | 4.393 | 4.4 | 0.382 | 5.646 | 5.65 | 0.470 | 6.925 | 6.9 | 0.585 |
| HL | 2.503 | 2.55 | 0.299 | 2.880 | 3.1 | 0.710 | 3.675 | 4 | 0.939 |
| HD | 1.673 | 1.7 | 0.183 | 2.257 | 2.3 | 0.299 | 2.825 | 2.75 | 0.189 |
| BD | 2.153 | 2.2 | 0.185 | 2.696 | 2.7 | 0.299 | 3.275 | 3.15 | 3.359 |
| SNL | 0.863 | 0.9 | 0.147 | 1.203 | 1.3 | 0.148 | 1.65 | 1.55 | 0.387 |
| UJL | 0.683 | 0.7 | 0.079 | 0.216 | 0.811 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.15 | 0.216 |
| L JL | 0.603 | 0.6 | 0.115 | 0.141 | 0.696 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.95 | 0.141 |
| GM | 0.616 | 0.6 | 0.101 | 0.163 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.163 |
| RBL | 0.765 | 0.8 | 0.080 | 0.842 | 0.8 | 0.096 | 1 | 1.1 | 0.2 |
| MBL | 0.79 | 0.8 | 0.092 | 0.823 | 0.8 | 0.103 | 1.05 | 1.05 | 0.129 |
| ED | 0.586 | 0.6 | 0.073 | 0.692 | 0.7 | 0.056 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.057 |
| DFL | 2.186 | 2.15 | 0.223 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 0.229 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.270 |
| DFB | 1.117 | 1.1 | 0.098 | 1.430 | 1.4 | 0.193 | 1.775 | 1.8 | 0.05 |
| PFL | 1.75 | 1.7 | 0.130 | 2.088 | 2 | 0.216 | 2.4 | 2.45 | 0.141 |
| PFB | 0.463 | 0.4 | 0.076 | 0.580 | 0.6 | 0.063 | 0.725 | 0.7 | 0.05 |
| VFL | 1.576 | 1.6 | 0.110 | 1.904 | 1.85 | 0.283 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 0.081 |
| VFB | 0.513 | 0.5 | 0.100 | 0.611 | 0.6 | 0.076 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.05 |
| AFL | 1.716 | 1.7 | 0.064 | 1.965 | 1.9 | 0.244 | 2.375 | 2.35 | 0.095 |
| AFB | 0.816 | 0.6 | 0.425 | 0.953 | 0.8 | 0.328 | 1.225 | 0.95 | 0.727 |
| CFL | 2.343 | 2.4 | 0.240 | 2.838 | 2.8 | 0.236 | 3.3 | 3.35 | 0.244 |
| CFB | 0.973 | 1.0 | 0.117 | 1.292 | 1.2 | 0.135 | 1.625 | 1.6 | 0.15 |

Table-3 **M** 1 4 1 1 ``

Table-4

| Ladie-4 | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| Meristic characters of Tor putitora | | | | | |
| Meristic characters | Length group I | Length group II | Length group III | | |
| Lateral line scales | 25-28 | 24-29 | 26-27 | | |
| Pre-dorsal scales | 8-10 | 7-8 | 8-9 | | |
| Lateral line transverse scales | 3.5/3.5-4.5/2.5 | 3.5/3.5-4.5/2.5 | 3.5/3.5-4.5/2.5 | | |
| Dorsal fin rays | 11(2/9) | 11(2/9) | 11(2/9) | | |
| Pectoral fin rays | 14-16 | 14-15 | 15-16 | | |
| Ventral fin rays | 8-9 | 8-9 | 8-9 | | |
| Anal fin rays | 7 | 7-8 | 7-8 | | |
| Caudal fin rays | 22-25 | 23-25 | 23-25 | | |
| Fin formula: D11(2/9)P14-16V8-9 | A7(2/7)L124-29L1Tr3.5/3.5-4.5 | 5/2.5 | | | |

Table-5 Morphometric relationship between total length and other external morphological features

| Length Length Length | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---------|----------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Relationship | group I | group II | group III | | | |
| TL/WWPS | 0.4885 | 0.6650 | 0.8407 | | | |
| TL/FL | 0.9344 | 0.9664 | 0.9501 | | | |
| TL/SL | 0.9457 | 0.9722 | 0.9530 | | | |
| TL/PdL | 0.9550 | 0.9624 | 0.9297 | | | |
| TL/PpL | 0.9277 | 0.9587 | 0.8494 | | | |
| TL/HL | 0.6667 | 0.5941 | 0.5827 | | | |
| TL/HD | 0.6410 | 0.8430 | -0.160 | | | |
| TL/BD | 0.7605 | 0.8567 | 0.9342 | | | |
| TL/SNL | 0.5367 | 0.5896 | 0.9722 | | | |
| TL/UJL | 0.6483 | 0.6160 | 0.9157 | | | |
| TL/LJL | 0.3082 | 0.6011 | 0.9816 | | | |
| TL/GM | 0.5414 | 0.3797 | 0.8501 | | | |
| TL/RBL | 0.4505 | 0.4228 | 0.3991 | | | |
| TL/MBL | 0.2573 | 0.3514 | 0.2285 | | | |
| TL/ED | 0.5813 | 0.2443 | -0.6912 | | | |
| TL/DFL | 0.7407 | 0.8277 | 0.9483 | | | |
| TL/DBF | 0.7427 | 0.5865 | 0.3991 | | | |
| TL/PFL | 0.6753 | 0.8360 | -0.9816 | | | |
| TL/PFB | 0.3714 | 0.4712 | 0.9891 | | | |
| TL/VFL | 0.6717 | 0.5847 | 0.7225 | | | |
| TL/VFB | 0.3749 | 0.2707 | -0.5109 | | | |
| TL/AFL | 0.5120 | 0.7437 | 0.9333 | | | |
| TL/AFB | 0.3032 | -0.2358 | -0.2612 | | | |
| TL/CFL | 0.4253 | 0.4623 | 0.5242 | | | |
| TL/CFB | 0.8403 | 0.6467 | 0.8618 | | | |

Conclusion

The morphometric and meristic counts exhibit isometric pattern and confirmed that the test specimen is *Tor putitora*.

Acknowledgement

The author is thankful to Prof. Seema Langer and Prof. N.K. Tripathi, Department of Zoology, University of Jammu, for providing all the facilities for this study and their guidance.

References

- 1. Shrestha T.K., Behaviour of Golden Mahseer *Tor putitora* (Ham.) in nature and captivity, *J. Freshwat. Biol.*, (2), 209-2019 (1996)
- 2. Nayman, Growth and ecology of fish population, *J. Anim. Ecol.*, (20), 201-219 (1965)
- 3. Naeem M., Salam A., Gillani Q. and Ishtiaq A., Lengthweight relationship off *Notopterus notopterus* and introduced *Oreochromis niloticus* from Indus River, Southern Punjab, *Pakistan J. Appl. Ichthyol.*, 26(4), 620 (2010)
- 4. Cavalcanti M.J., Monteiro L.R. and Lopes P.R.D., Landmark based morphometric analysis in selected species of Serranid fishes (Perciformes: Teleostei), *Zool. Stud.*, **38(3)**, 287-294 (**1999**)
- **5.** Day F., The fauna of British India, including Ceylon ad Burma: Fishes, Vols. I and II: Today and Tomorrows Printers and Publishers, New Delhi, 509-548 (**1889**)
- 6. Jayaram K.C., The freshwater fishes of India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, Burma, Sri Lanka: a handbook, *Zoological Survey of India* (Calcutta), 475 (1981)
- 7. Jayaram K.C., Ecostatus and conservation strategies for mahseer fishes of India with special reference to Deccan species. pp 3-12. In Welfare Biology in the new millennium (Eds) Kar D., Dey S.C. and Datta N.C., Allied publishers Pvt. Ltd. (Bangalore) (2003)
- 8. Jayaram K.C., The fresh water fishes of Indian region, Narendra Publishing House (Delhi), 616 (2010)
- **9.** Hazarika A., Baroh U. and Lily B., Studies on morphometric measurements and meristic counts of Hill Trout (*Barilius bendelisis*, Hamilton) from river Buroi at the boundary areas of Assam and Arunachal Pradesh, India, *Indian Journal of Fundamental and Applied Life Sciences*, (3), 194-198 (2011)
- 10. Muhammad Zafar, Abdul Nazir, Nasim Akhtar S.M.H., Mehdi Naqvi and M. Zia-ur-Rehman., Studies in meristic count and morphometric measurement of Mahseer (*Tor putitora*) from spawning ground of Himalayan foot-hill river Karong Islamabad, *Pakistan, Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, 5(6), 733-735 (2012)